

Summary of representations received**Traffic Regulation Order [TRO] Proposal (reference number: TRO-9-2018)**

Who	Object/Support	Summary of contents	Summary officer comments/recommendations
Resident	Support for TRO-9b-18	Parking on the pavement (sometimes in excess of 12 vehicles) obstructs pedestrians (especially wheelchair/mobility-scooter users and parents with pushchairs); creates risk, especially during the high traffic levels during the morning and evening school runs; damages the pavement and trees; and denies the Council income that can be spent on sustainable transport methods.	Comments noted.
Resident	Support for TRO-9b-18	Pavement parking creates danger to pedestrians, especially young children, not expecting a car to leave or park on the pavement. It is also an eyesore and an obstacle for people with impaired sighting, prams and elderly people on mobile scooters.	Comments noted.
Organisation	Object to TRO-9a-18	Objection to proposed amendments to 6 parking bays.	Comment noted. The proposed TRO includes changes to 4 of the 6 vehicle bays in this location.
		Proposal fails to take account of the needs of blue badge holders for short-term parking close to the surgery, and the needs of people who are temporarily disabled, ill, frail, elderly who do not have a blue badge and may need to be escorted.	Consideration has been given to a variety of needs and the current provision and management of parking/loading facilities in the street. Blue badge holders are entitled to park on double yellow lines for up to three hours and any vehicle may also wait or load on double yellow lines for up to five minutes.

Who	Object/ Support	Summary of contents	Summary officer comments/recommendations
			<p>Other patients/escorts could also briefly (and legitimately) use double yellow lines for such activities, if required.</p> <p>A review of the proposed changes to parking/loading arrangements, if approved, is recommended in consultation with ward councillors in order to identify and consider any further changes that may be required.</p>
		<p>Suggestion of alternative parking arrangements such as short-term, limited waiting and single yellow lines, blue badge holder bays, light touch and pay & display bays.</p>	<p>Parking arrangements in other parts of the city will have been approved following various consultation processes and may reflect the specific circumstances of a street or area e.g the mix of land uses within it, or the needs of a small, local shopping area compared to a High Street environment.</p>
		<p>Suggest the removal and relocation of the two-vehicle taxi bay to an alternative nearby location.</p>	<p>The taxi rank is not the subject of the TRO proposal or process, but an operational reason for retaining it in its current location has been provided by a representative of the taxi trade.</p>
		<p>Obstruction to the parking bays by kerbside, communal recycling bins, and potential health hazard from fly-tipping.</p>	<p>The location of the bins is not the subject of the TRO proposal or process, but is acknowledged and will be reviewed by City Transport and CityClean officers, in consultation with ward councillors</p>